FPIC as Project Bonus: A New Paradigm in IP-Project Engagement

Greg Guldin, CCCS FPIC Specialist

Hydropower and Dams Global Solutions Group

World Bank Webinar

June 2020



Cross-Cultural Consulting Services, PLLC

2607 Western Avenue, Suite 610, Seattle, WA 98121, USA +1-206-733-7762 info@crossculturalconsult.com www.crossculturalconsult.com

Why a New Paradigm in Indigenous Peoples-Project Engagement?

Because the world has changed since the first Indigenous Peoples Policies were formulated and FPIC has been adopted:

- 1982 World Bank OMS 2.34 "Tribal Peoples in Bank-Financed Projects"
- 1989 ILO Convention No. 169
- 1991 World Bank OD 4.20 on Indigenous Peoples: from tribal to global
- 2000 United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues
- 2007 United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: FPIC
- 2008-Today: MDB Indigenous Peoples policies incorporate FPIC

FPIC as Game Changer

- While "not a veto" FPIC does empower groups designated as Indigenous Peoples by giving them sole control over a valued commodity: their consent
- FPIC requires new paradigm of stakeholder engagement: continuing the paradigm shift from i) "safeguards (do no harm)" to ii) "meaningful consultation/BCS" and benefit-sharing to iii) a new FPIC-infused engagement strategy
- N.B.: Inclusion of FPIC in MDB policies increases value of a group being designated as Indigenous Peoples
- Likely to spur more demands from groups to be recognized as Indigenous Peoples

Proposal for a New Paradigm: Based on Some Lessons Learned Implementing FPIC

- Consent Achieved / In Process
- Upper Trishuli-1 HEP (UT-1; Nepal): MDBs, 2018
- Sakhalin Energy LNG (RF): private, 2010 & 2015
- Upper Arun HEP (Nepal): WB+; just begun

FPIC Initiated

- Weda Bay Mining (Indonesia): private, 2013-2016
- SMI Tampakan Mining (Philippines): private, 2012
- Abadi LNG Project (Indonesia): private, 2013-2015

Nivkh community, on Strait of Tartary, Trambaus, Sakhalin, RF

5

.......................

6

AN IF REAL PROPERTY AND

Himalayan Hillside Village, Rasuwa, Nepal

New Paradigm needs a New Stakeholder Engagement Strategy: the IP Participation & Partnership Paradigm (PPP)

- FPIC spirit as guiding principle with participation and partnership throughout the project lifecycle
 - from preparation through operations
 - from FPIC process, through Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP) preparation through IPP implementation

- The Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP) governance structure must be reconceptualized to accommodate the FPIC spirit
 - Preparing IPP: Collaborative w/IP
 - Implementing IPP: governance, monitoring, evaluation with IP
- Tripartite IPP implementation: Indigenous communities, project, government

7

The IP Participation and Partnership Paradigm (PPP)

- Ideally initiated early on in project planning the PPP can assist projects address effectively past and present contentious issues or challenges (through, for example, a Mitigation Matrix or a legacy issues document)
- For projects already under preparation the paradigm can act as a "reset button" to deal with simmering conflicts and misunderstandings
- A win-win-win for projects, indigenous communities, and local governments

A New Paradigm: Building on Lessons Learned "Sakhalin Model" + UT-1 Experience

Additional Elements

- 3 rounds of FPIC consultations, including FPIC mobilization
- IP Advisory Council from 1st FPIC Consultations onwards
- Working Group (WG) to co-prepare FPIC documents
- Capacity-building critical, during FPIC & IPP implementation
- FPIC Facilitator Organization (FFO)
- Bottom-up Needs Assessment & Legacy Issues review
- FPIC Facilitator

Additional Documents

- **Consent Process Agreement (CPA**): FPIC communities decide on the specifics of how the consent process will be played out and agree on this early in the FPIC process
- **Consent Set** of Documents (4 in 1, as appropriate)
 - A. Statement of Consent
 - B. FPIC-Standard Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP)
 - C. Legacy/Outstanding Issues Agreement (as necessary)
 - D. Tripartite IPP Implementation Agreement

развитию коренных малочисленных народов Севера Сахалинской области»

2016-2020 гг.

On Sakhalin, the "3 Partners" carried 10 out the community consultations, led by the indigenous council head

Nepal Village FPIC Mobilization by NEFIN (FFO)

The State State

12

Initial Document: Consent Process Agreement--Defining Consent within Each Project Context

- "How will we decide we've given our 'Consent'?"
 - Who will be authorized to grant consent?
 - What will be the process of considering the consent decision?
 - When will the process be conducted? And where?
- FPIC Facilitator Organization (3rd party) manages the process
 - Discussion at local level and among interested parties; FPIC communities decide
 - Produces a written agreement, the CPA



Voting by Adibasi Janajati Advisory Council, Rasuwa, Nepal

..........

14

Consent Set of Documents before the "UT-1 FPIC & IPP Working Group"

The documents on the table:

- A draft of the Statement of Consent ("A")
- A draft of the Indigenous Peoples Plan ("B")
- A draft of an "Outstanding/Legacy Issues" document ("C"; issues not accommodated by an IPP)
- A draft of a "Tripartite IPP Implementation Agreement" ("D"; project, IP communities, local gov't)



Carrying FPIC into the Project Future: the Indigenous Peoples Plan ("B")

- **Typical Sections**
- Project Description
- Risks
- Mitigation Measures
- Proposed Benefits

FPIC-Standard IPP Additions

15

- Local bottom-up needs assessment
- Co-preparation of IPP
- IPP Governance: IP predominant
- Mitigation Matrix (updated regularly)

BONUS: IPP becomes preeminent IPproject communication channel (during project implementation)

At the Sakhalin consent meeting one community votes as a block against the majority

In Nepal, Signing the Consent Statement ("A")

Consent Achieved

At the very spot aside the Trishuli River where the intake tunnel will be placed, the NWEDC CEO (r) receives the consecrated FPIC documents ("A, B, C, D") from the chair of the Adivasi Janajati Advisory Council (himself a former critic of the project), 2 November 2018



19

Tripartite IPP Implementation Agreement ("D"), Sakhalin 2015

Company, Government, IP Council



Challenges & Lessons Learned

FPIC Implementation Challenges

Bringing Senior Project Management on Board Bringing Local Government on Board Bringing Local IPOs/Communities on Board

How to Build Trust

- Between IPO/FFO and MDB
- Between Project and IPOs
- Between IPO/FFO and Communities
- Between local govt and FPIC process

Suspicions! Suspicions! Suspicions!



21

22

Keys to Success for UT-1

True Good Faith Negotiation (GFN)



At critical moment(s), key concessions by all sides

Three GFN Partners

- FFO: NEFIN (both national/local) as FPIC Facilitation Organization credible 3rd party
- Company: Senior management empowered middle management to negotiate & embrace outstanding/legacy issues
- Community Representatives: inclusive self-selection process: Advisory Council & WG

• What made GFN possible?



A few months of collaboration built on mutual respect & trust-building

23 Lessons Learned

- ✓ Gender issues best tackled by local community if directly raised by facilitators
- ✓ Be aware of Class, Caste, and Ethnic Cleavages; Political factions
- Embrace Legacy Issues: good for short-run (FPIC) & long-run (Social License)
- Key roles of IPO/FFO and of Senior Project Management
- Interpret everything through local culture
- Keep Involuntary Resettlement issues separate
- Infuse FPIC & PPP Spirit into the IPP



New Paradigm = Learning Curve

24

So Capacity-Building is Critical



25

Need for Process to be Culturally Embedded

Local Communities Set the Tone: Respecting Spiritual and Social as well as Economistic, Bureaucratic, and Engineering Imperatives

RNUHSGERIES KOLLEN KO DEPOTEKTIABAK LADBHENUETO ВЗАИМОЦЕЙСТВИЯ В РАМКАХ ТРЕТЬЕГО «ПЛАНА СОДЕЙСТВИЯ РАЗВИТИЮ КОРЕННЫХ МАЛОЧ EHH BIX H CEBEPA CAXAI

26.

Программа реализуется при финансовой поддержке компании «Сахалок Энерджк»

26

Nivkh Welcoming Call at Consent Meeting

Tamang lamas consecrating the FPIC agreements

FPIC as Project Bonus: Beyond a Requirement

A Comprehensive Risk-Reduction Strategy

- Meets Present Needs ("A"): Not only enables positive financing and administrative approvals—the immediate goals but also:
- Addresses the Past (a reset button; "C"): Project embraces and addresses outstanding/ legacy issues
- Prepares for the Future ("B, D"): Provides
 Long-term Value for the Project, a
 mechanism in place—the FPIC-Standard IPP- for maintaining Social License
- WARNING!! Project has to keep up with Consent Set agreements or license could expire!

IP Participation & Partnership Paradigm:

Is it Worth It?

Greater Inputs

Greater Returns

- More time and resources up front
- Lowered social risks throughout the project

29

 Increased likelihood of effective social plans For further discussion:

Help Refine the Proposed New Paradigm, the Indigenous Peoples Participation and Partnership Paradigm (PPP)



Cross-Cultural Consulting Services, PLLC

30

2607 Western Avenue, Suite 610, Seattle, WA 98121, USA +1-206-733-7762 info@crossculturalconsult.com www.crossculturalconsult.com